

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,121	04/23/2004	Jacques Habatjou	119426	9007
25944	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			BOECKMANN, JASON J	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3752	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/830,121	HABATJOU, JACQUES	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jason J. Boeckmann	3752	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 18 M.	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) ☐ 6) ☒ 7) ☒ 8) ☐ Applicati 9) ☐ 10) ☒	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9-17,20-26,28-34,36,38,40,41 and Claim(s) 8,18,19,27,35,37,39 and 42 is/are ob Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to be the the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath o	wn from consideration. d 43-46 is/are rejected. iected to. r election requirement. r. accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/23/04, 5/18/04, 12/17/04, 6/30/0	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 5/18/2006 is acknowledged. The applicant's arguments have been found persuasive. The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schilling (DE 3517122).

Schilling shows a spray device comprising a spray mechanism (1) including a housing for receiving a vector gas supply and a reservoir (3) containing a substance to be sprayed capable of being releasably mounted to the device so that the substance can be selectively dispensed form the spray mechanism. The reservoir comprising a closure member (6) to close the passage when the reservoir is not mounted on the device. The closure member opens in response to the reservoir being mounted on the device (4).

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1,2, 5-7, 9-11, 13, 14-17, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling (DE 3517122) in view of Rookard, Jr. (4,272,768).

Schilling shows a spray gun comprising; a spray mechanism (1), and a reservoir containing a substance for spraying, the reservoir is arraigned to be removably mounted to the device, the reservoir comprising; a substance outlet passage (11, 7), a closure member (6) to close the passage when the reservoir is not mounted on the device. The closure member opens in response to the reservoir being mounted on the device (4). Schilling does not specifically disclose that the reservoir comprises a first partition, first and second compartments capable of containing the substance, the compartments being arraigned to feed the spray mechanism with the substance and being disposed on either side of the first partition, wherein the substance comprises a cosmetic or a care product. However, Rookard, Jr. shows a reservoir comprising a first partition (6) defining first and second compartments (the right and left compartments shown in figure 1). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to add the partition of Rookard, Jr.'s reservoir to the reservoir of

Art Unit: 3752

Schilling in order to prevent uncontrollable sloshing which results in a stable reservoir even when partially full as taught by Rookard, Jr.(column 2, lines 39-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to spray a cosmetic or care product form the spray device of Schilling as modified by Rookard, Jr. in order to improve a person's appearance.

Regarding claims 2, 5 and 6, the partitions of Rookard, Jr. that are being added to Schilling's reservoir include a second partition (on the right side of figure 1) on one side of the first partition that defines two sub-compartments (top and bottom) that are in communication with each other, a third partition (on the left side of figure 1) located on the other side of the first partition defining two sub-compartments (top and bottom), the first partition being between the second and third partitions (figure 1).

Regarding claim 7, the reservoir of Shilling includes a base portion (30) and a lidforming portion (19) fitted on the base portion (figure 1).

Regarding claims 9-11, the substance outlet passage (7, 11) is defined at least by an end piece (7) which projects form the reservoir (figure 1). The end piece including a sealing O-ring (12).

Regarding claim 13, the device of Shilling includes a fastener (5) arraigned to cooperate by complementary shapes with the spray mechanism (1).

Regarding claim 14, the substance is sprayed in response to a suction created by a vector gas (inherently part of the spray gun in figure 1).

Regarding claim 16, the spray mechanism includes a control member to control the vector gas and the substance to be sprayed (figure 1).

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling (DE 3517122) in view of Rookard, Jr. (4,272,768) further in view of Coffee (4,306,685).

Schilling as modified by Rookard, Jr. shows all aspects of the applicant's invention as in the rejection of claim 1 above, but does not specifically disclose that the check valve is a ball check valve. However, Coffee shows a ball check valve (42) for a pressurized container. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to substitute the ball check valve of Coffee for the check valve of Schilling as modified by Rookard, Jr. in order to create a more uniform seal when the valve is closed.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling (DE 3517122) in view of Rookard, Jr. (4,272,768).

Schilling as modified by Rookard, Jr. shows all aspects of the applicant's invention as in the rejection of claim 1 above, but does not specifically disclose that the reservoir is partially transparent. However, transparent reservoirs are common in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to make a portion of the reservoir transparent in order to see how much substance is left in the reservoir.

Art Unit: 3752

Claims 21, 22-26, 28, 33, 34, 36, 38, 40, 41, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauptmann (EP 0014993).

Hauptmann shows a spray device for spraying at least one substance contained in a reservoir (3), the substance being taken form the reservoir by suction created at an outlet orifice of the reservoir by a stream of vector gas, the vector gas being stored in a pressurized receptacle (4). The device further comprising an adjustment valve (20) for adjusting a flow rate of the sprayed substance and a control member (7) capable of being operated by a user to act both on a vector gas dispenser valve (14, 16) and the adjustment valve, the adjustment valve comprising a plunger (20) arraigned to cooperate with an associated seat (21) so that the flow rate of the sprayed substance varies with the spacing between the seat and the plunger, but does not specifically disclose that the device is used for spraying a cosmetic or care product. However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to spray a cosmetic or a care product form the device of Hauptmann in order to improve a person's appearance.

Regarding claims 22-24, the control member is a pivoting lever (figure ½) and the dispenser valve (16) is secured to the pressurized receptacle and is triggered by tilting a control rod (19).

Regarding claim 25, the seat is situated on a support piece for supporting the nozzle (28).

Art Unit: 3752

Regarding claims 28 and 33, the reservoir is removable (figure ½) and the plunger is slidable in a direction parallel to the direction along which the substance is sprayed (figure ½).

Regarding claim 34, the device includes a housing for receiving the pressurized container as shown in figure ½.

Regarding claim 36, the device includes a return spring (22) for the plunger.

Regarding claim 38, the device includes an end piece, (the linkage in the handle of the device in figure ½) arraigned to be engaged on a control rod of the dispenser valve, wherein the control member (7) is arraigned to be capable of pressing against the end piece.

Regarding claims 40 and 41, the device is generally elongate in shape and the control member comprises a presser (7) face on a longitude side of the device and the pressurized container (4) and the reservoir (3) are held together in a fixed manner (figure ½).

Claims 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hauptmann (EP 0014993) in view of Bara (US 2003/0108487).

Hauptmann shows all aspects of the applicant's invention as in the rejection of claim 1 above, but does not specifically disclose that the device comprises two outlet nozzles for the vector gas and an outlet for the substance, with the two vector gas outlet nozzles converging in a direction going away form the device and the substance outlet orifice comprises an axis substantially in a same plane as the vector gas outlet nozzles.

Art Unit: 3752

However, Bara shows a spraying device comprising two outlet nozzles for the vector gas (7) and an outlet for the substance (6), with the two vector gas outlet nozzles converging in a direction going away form the device and the substance outlet orifice comprises an axis substantially in a same plane as the vector gas outlet nozzles (figures 1-4). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to substitute the two vector gas nozzles (7) and the substance outlet (6) of Bara's spraying device for the nozzle configuration of Hauptmann's spraying device in order to suck the substance into the flow of the vector gas more efficiently due to having two nozzles of vector gas orientated towards each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 18, 19, 27, 35, 37, 39 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kopp (5,762,319) shows a ball check valve for a reservoir. Krautzberger (2,196,800) shows a spraying device including a reservoir with partitions. Stoody (4,595,127) shows a spraying device including a reservoir and a pressurized vector gas supply.

Art Unit: 3752

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJB DI 8/3/06

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700